



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/509,093	09/28/2004	Joachim Johansson	1505-1057	9917
465 7590 02/12/2008 YOUNG & THOMPSON 745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202			EXAMINER JAKOVAC, RYAN J	
			ART UNIT 4121	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 02/12/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/509,093

**Applicant(s)**

JOHANSSON ET AL.

**Examiner**

RYAN J. JAKOVAC

**Art Unit**

4121

**Period for Reply** -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 September 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 23-42 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 23-42 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/EE-13)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date 05/04/2007, 11/10/2004
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is responsive to communications filed on 09/28/2004.

Claims 23-42 are pending.

Claims 23-42 are rejected.

### ***Claim Objections***

1. Claim 29 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 29 recites "Method according t claim 23" instead of "Method according to claim 23". Appropriate correction is required.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claim 23-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by "RFC 2131 - Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol" (hereinafter DHCP).

Regarding claims 23 and 32-34, DHCP teaches a method, a resource manager, and a computer program product for reserving network resources within an IP network, wherein the resources are reserved by a resource manager for an application or a

group of applications within a time interval defined by a start-time and a stop-time (DHCP, Ch. 1, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, DHCP servers (i.e. resource manager) allocate network addresses and deliver configuration parameters (i.e. resources) to hosts (i.e. application).), characterised in that the method comprises the step of: guaranteeing said resources between said start-time and said stop-time (DHCP, Ch. 1, 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph, DHCP servers assign IP addresses to clients for a limited period of time. Ch. 1.6, paragraphs 8-10, DHCP guarantees that any specific network address will not be in use by more than one DHCP client at a time.), and keeping said resources for the application after said stop-time has expired if said application still needs resources (DHCP, Ch. 4.4.5, 7th paragraph, A lease on a IP address may be renewed past its expiration date.), wherein the resource manager is keeping a list of active reservations that have expired after said stop-time (DHCP, Ch. 4.3.1., 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph, The server keeps a pool of addresses. Ch. 2.2, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, Addresses whose lease has expired are reused.).

Regarding claim 24, DHCP teaches the method according to claim 23, characterised in that all resource reservations are utilising a common pool of resources (DHCP, Ch. 1, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, DHCP servers allocate network addresses (i.e. common pool of resources) and deliver configuration parameters (i.e. resources) to hosts. Ch. 4.3.1., 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph, The server keeps a pool of addresses (i.e. common pool of resources).).

Regarding claim 25, DHCP teaches the method according to claim 23, characterised in that individual start- and stop-time are set for each application by an application client (DHCP, Ch. 3.1, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, The client (i.e. application) suggests values for the network address and lease duration.).

Regarding claim 26, DHCP teaches the method according to claim 23, characterised in that individual start- and stop-time are set for each application by the resource manager (DHCP, Ch. 3.1, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph-Ch. 3.2, The server (i.e. resource manager) sends a DHCP OFFER message including configuration parameters (i.e. start and stop-time). Ch. 4.3.1, DHCP server assigns the lease (i.e. start and stop times) to the client (i.e. application).).

Regarding claim 27, DHCP teaches the method according to claim 23, characterised in that said start-time is set to the current time (DHCP, Ch. 4.3.1, DHCP server assigns a locally configured default lease time to the client (i.e. application).).

Regarding claim 28, DHCP teaches the method according to claim 27, characterised in that said stop-time is set to the current time (DHCP, Ch. 2.2, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, Addresses whose lease has expired are reused.).

Regarding claim 29, DHCP teaches the method according to claim 23, characterised in that said stop-time is set to infinity (DHCP, Ch. 3.3, 1st paragraph, Client lease times set to infinity.).

Regarding claim 30, DHCP teaches the method according to claim 23, characterised in that charging of said resources is based on the amount of guaranteed resources (DHCP, Ch. 4.3.1., 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph, The server keeps a pool of addresses from which it assigns IP addresses to clients. Ch. 1.6, paragraphs 8-10, DHCP guarantees that any specific network address will not be in use by more than one DHCP client at a time.).

Regarding claim 31, DHCP teaches the method according to claim 23, characterised in that said resources are related to the bandwidth (DHCP, Ch. 4.3.1., 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph, The server keeps a pool of addresses from which it assigns IP addresses to clients. The increase in IP addresses allocated puts more clients on the network and is therefore related to bandwidth.).

Regarding claim 35, DHCP teaches resource manager according to claim 34, characterised in that all resource reservations are utilising a common pool of resources (DHCP, Ch. 1, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, DHCP servers allocate network addresses (i.e. common pool of resources) and deliver configuration parameters (i.e. resources) to hosts. Ch.

Art Unit: 4121

4.3.1., 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph, The server keeps a pool of addresses (i.e. common pool of resources).).

Regarding claim 36, DHCP teaches resource manager according to claim 34, characterised in that said resource manager comprises means for allowing the each application client to set individual start- and stop-time for said application (DHCP, Ch. 3.1, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, The client (i.e. application) suggests values for the network address and lease duration.).

Regarding claim 37, DHCP teaches resource manager according to claim 34, characterised in that said resource manager comprises means for setting individual start- and stop-time for each application (DHCP, Ch. 3.1, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph-Ch. 3.2, The server (i.e. resource manager) sends a DHCP OFFER message including configuration parameters (i.e. start and stop-time). Ch. 4.3.1, DHCP server assigns the lease (i.e. start and stop times) to the client (i.e. application).).

Regarding claim 38, DHCP teaches resource manager according to claim 34, characterised in that said resource manager comprises means for setting said start-time to the current time (DHCP, Ch. 4.3.1, DHCP server assigns a locally configured default lease time to the client (i.e. application).).

Regarding claim 39, DHCP teaches resource manager according to claim 38, characterised in that said resource manager comprises means for setting said stop-time to the current time (DHCP, Ch. 2.2, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, Addresses whose lease has expired are reused.).

Regarding claim 40, DHCP teaches resource manager according to claim 34, characterised in that said resource manager comprises means for setting said stop-time to infinity (DHCP, Ch. 3.3, 1st paragraph, Client lease times set to infinity.).

Regarding claim 41, DHCP teaches resource manager according to claim 34, characterised in that said resource manager comprising means for basing the charging of said resources on the amount of guaranteed resources (DHCP, Ch. 4.3.1., 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph, The server keeps a pool of addresses from which it assigns IP addresses to clients. Ch. 1.6, paragraphs 8-10, DHCP guarantees that any specific network address will not be in use by more than one DHCP client at a time.).

Regarding claim 42, DHCP teaches resource manager according to claim 34, characterised in that said resources are related to the bandwidth (DHCP, Ch. 4.3.1., 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph, The server keeps a pool of addresses from which it assigns IP addresses to clients. The increase in IP addresses allocated puts more clients on the network and is therefore related to bandwidth.).



***Conclusion***

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. 2004/0082338 discloses monitoring and controlling resources within an IP network. U.S. 2001/0042123 discloses allocation and management of resources amongst nodes. U.S. 6,760,306 discloses a network manager receiving service reservations from nodes to request and secure particular network services. U.S. 6,799,208 discloses a resource manager working with multiple resource providers in allocating resources to resource consumers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RYAN J. JAKOVAC whose telephone number is (571)270-5003. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:30 am to 5:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Taghi T. Arani can be reached on (571) 272-3787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 4121

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RJ

/Taghi T. Arani/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4121  
2/5/2008